

**BRIDGING TRIBAL DIVIDES: THE IMPACT OF A NATIONAL LANGUAGE ON
CONFLICT RESOLUTION IN NIGERIA**

¹Chiekezie, Patricia N. ²Ibezim, Chidimma Happiness, ³Ogwuru, Vitalis Amarachukwu,
Department of General Studies, Federal College of Agriculture, Ishiagu, Ebonyi State, Nigeria.

Corresponding email: patricichiekezie@gmail.com

Abstract

This study examines the potential of a widely shared national language or lingua franca as a tool for conflict resolution and national cohesion in Nigeria. Using qualitative desk research and content analysis, the study reviews Nigeria's multilingual context, language policy, and selected recent incidents of intergroup violence. The analysis is guided by Social Identity Theory and Linguistic Relativity Theory, which together illuminate the relationship between linguistic identity, intergroup perception, communication and social cohesion. The study argues that a shared language can facilitate dialogue, improve access to peace-building processes, and strengthen a sense of national belonging. However, language alone cannot resolve conflicts whose causes also include political competition, land disputes, insecurity, economic inequality and institutional weaknesses. The study therefore recommends an inclusive multilingual strategy that strengthens widely used lingua franca while protecting indigenous languages. Nigerian Pidgin is presented as a relatively less ethnically identified option for wider national communication, while Hausa is acknowledged as a major regional lingua franca whose adoption as a national language would require careful consultation because of the political sensitivities associated with language choice. The study further highlights education and the media as key mechanisms for promoting inclusive communication and peace-building.

Keywords: conflict resolution, language policy, lingua Franca, national language, Nigeria, social identity

Introduction

Nigeria is widely recognized as one of the most ethnically diverse countries in Africa. It has over 250 ethnic groups and more than 500 indigenous languages spoken across its regions (Osaghae, 1994). The major ethnic groups: Hausa-Fulani in the north, Yoruba in the southwest, and Igbo in the southeast, have historically dominated the country's political and economic landscape. However, hundreds of minority ethnic groups such as the Tiv, Kanuri, Ijaw, Ibibio, and Nupe also contribute to the rich tapestry of Nigeria's cultural and linguistic heritage. This ethnic and linguistic plurality has been both a source of national pride and a challenge for state cohesion. Since independence in 1960, Nigeria has grappled with issues of ethno-regional tension, competition for political power, and resource control, much of which is influenced by underlying ethnic allegiances (Suberu, 2001). The colonial legacy, which merged culturally distinct regions into a single state without fostering a common national identity, further complicated efforts to unify the country (Akinjogbin, 2002). These divisions are often reinforced by language barriers that impede mutual understanding, particularly in conflict-prone areas. Without a widely embraced national language that transcends ethnic boundaries, efforts at conflict resolution and nation-building will continue to be an uncracked nut. Language is not merely a tool for communication; it is a symbol of identity, a vehicle of culture, and a unifying force in nation-building. In multilingual states like Nigeria, language plays a pivotal role in shaping collective identity and fostering political inclusion (Bamgbose, 1991). A common language can act as a bridge between diverse communities, facilitating dialogue, mutual understanding, and national integration. However, Nigeria's language policy has historically favoured English, a colonial legacy, as the official language of administration, education, and legal systems. While English offers a neutral ground in a linguistically heterogeneous society, its dominance often excludes rural and non-English-speaking populations from participating in national discourse, thereby, exacerbating feelings of marginalization (Adegbija, 2004).

Indigenous languages, though they are widely spoken at the community level, lack sufficient representation in formal institutions. As a result, linguistic diversity, rather than being celebrated, becomes a source of fragmentation, limiting the effectiveness of communication in peace-building and conflict resolution. This dynamism underscores the urgent need for a language

strategy that promotes mutual intelligibility and cultural inclusion, thereby contributing to national unity.

Statement of the Problem

Despite Nigeria's immense linguistic and cultural diversity, the country has struggled to establish a unifying national identity that transcends ethnic lines. Language, which could serve as a catalyst for unity and peace, has instead become a divisive factor due to the absence of a widely accepted indigenous national language. The continued dominance of English, while useful for official communication, has limited grassroots participation in governance, conflict resolution, and national dialogue (Oyetade, 2003). Furthermore, the lack of a cohesive language strategy has perpetuated communication barriers between ethnic groups, often complicating efforts at conflict mediation, especially in interethnic clashes and communal disputes. In regions marked by ethno-linguistic fragmentation, such as the Middle Belt and parts of the South-South, the inability to communicate across tribal lines has hindered peace-building efforts, leaving local conflicts to fester or escalate. This paper addresses the question: To what extent can the adoption or promotion of a national language contribute to conflict resolution among Nigeria's ethnically diverse populations? By exploring this question, the study aims to uncover the potential of language as a peace-building tool, and highlight policy gaps that must be addressed to foster national integration.

Purpose and Significance of the study

The primary purpose of this study is to examine the impact of a national language on conflict resolution among Nigeria's diverse ethnic groups. It aims to explore how a shared means of communication (whether indigenous or hybrid (e.g., Nigerian Pidgin)) can function as a tool for promoting dialogue, reducing tensions, and fostering national cohesion in a multiethnic society. By analyzing the relationship between language policy, ethnic identity, and conflict dynamics in Nigeria, the study seeks to contribute to broader discussions on peace-building, inclusive governance, and nation-building. The paper also evaluates existing national language policies and their limitations, proposing practical strategies for adopting a more inclusive linguistic framework. The significance of this research lies in its potential to inform policy decisions, especially those relating to education, media, and national integration programs. In a country where language differences often correlate with political and social divisions, the development of a widely accepted national language (or the strengthening of a lingua franca) may serve as a critical intervention point in reducing interethnic mistrust and violence (Ayoade, 1994; Egbokhare, 2003).

Objective of the study

The study is guided by the following key objectives:

9. To examine the role of language in shaping ethnic identity and intergroup relations in Nigeria.
10. To assess the extent to which language barriers contribute to interethnic conflict in Nigeria.
11. To analyze the effectiveness of Nigeria's national language policy in promoting unity and conflict resolution
12. To explore the potential of a national or common lingua franca in fostering mutual understanding and national integration.
13. It aims to suggest practical, inclusive, and culturally sensitive language policies that can support sustainable peace and development

Research Questions

To guide the investigation, the study seeks to answer the following research questions:

1. How does language influence ethnic identity and intergroup relations in Nigeria?.
2. In what ways do language barriers contribute to interethnic conflict in Nigeria?
3. What role has Nigeria's national language policy played in promoting or hindering national unity?

Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative research approach, relying primarily on desk research and content analysis to examine the relationship between national language use, communication and conflict resolution in Nigeria. The study draws on secondary sources, including scholarly books and journal articles, language-policy documents, reports from human-rights organizations, and reputable contemporary news reports concerning selected cases of violence. The three recent incidents discussed in Section 3.1 are used as illustrative cases rather than as evidence that language alone caused the conflicts. The analysis identifies recurring themes concerning linguistic identity, communication barriers, intergroup mistrust, national integration, and the possible peace-building functions of a shared lingua franca. The study is therefore interpretive and policy-oriented rather than statistical or causal.

Literature Review

This section examines the theoretical framework and the existing scholarly work on the relationship between language, conflict resolution, and ethnic diversity in Nigeria. It draws from

Nigerian scholars who have studied the impact of national language policy and the dynamics of ethnic conflict resolution.

Theoretical Framework

This study is anchored in two interrelated theories that help explain the connection between language, ethnic identity, communication and conflict resolution: Social Identity Theory and Linguistic Relativity Theory. These theories provide complementary conceptual lenses for analyzing how language reflects group identity and can influence intergroup perception and interaction in a multilingual state such as Nigeria.

Social Identity Theory (Tajfel & Turner, 1979)

Social Identity Theory proposes that individuals derive part of their self-concept from membership in social groups and may distinguish between in-groups and out-groups (Tajfel & Turner, 1979). In Nigeria, ethnic, religious, regional and linguistic identities may function as important markers of group membership. Language can strengthen solidarity within a group while, under conditions of mistrust, also reinforce boundaries between groups. This perspective is relevant to the present study because it helps explain why the choice of a national language can be politically and emotionally sensitive. A language perceived as belonging primarily to one ethnic group may be resisted by other groups, whereas a language that is widely shared or not strongly associated with a single dominant ethnic group may have greater potential as an inclusive medium of national communication.

Linguistic Relativity Theory (Sapir-Whorf Hypothesis)

Linguistic Relativity Theory is associated with the proposition that language can influence habitual patterns of thought and perception (Whorf, 1956). In a multicultural society, differences in linguistic categories and culturally embedded meanings can contribute to differences in how social experiences are interpreted. The theory is relevant to this study because conflict mediation requires participants to understand one another's meanings, intentions and culturally situated interpretations. Where peace-building processes rely exclusively on a language that some participants do not understand adequately, opportunities for misunderstanding or exclusion may increase. However, the theory should not be interpreted as claiming that language determines thought or causes conflict. Rather, it highlights the importance of linguistic accessibility and culturally sensitive communication in conflict resolution. Together, Social Identity Theory and Linguistic Relativity Theory support an analysis of language as both a marker of group identity and a resource for cross-group communication.

Language and conflict in a multilingual society: The Nigerian example

Language plays a central role in both the escalation and resolution of conflicts, particularly in societies where linguistic diversity coincides with ethnic and regional divisions. Nigeria's multilingual reality has made language both a symbol of identity and a barrier to effective communication, especially in conflict-prone areas.

Language as a factor in ethnic tension

In Nigeria, language is often interwoven with ethnicity, regional affiliation and political representation. The prominence of Hausa, Yoruba and Igbo in particular regions can create perceptions of unequal linguistic status among minority-language communities. Language differences may therefore interact with other sources of tension, including political competition, religious differences, land and resource disputes, insecurity and social exclusion. The following recent incidents are presented as illustrative cases. They should not be interpreted as demonstrating that language was the sole or primary cause of the violence; rather, they show contexts in which ethnic identification, mistrust, misinformation or difficulties in cross-group communication can complicate conflict prevention and resolution.

a. 2023 Mangu Violence in Plateau State

Between 15 and 17 May 2023, more than 100 people were reported killed in the Mangu area of Plateau State amid attacks associated with longstanding farmer-herder and communal tensions (Amnesty International, 2023). Reuters reporting likewise described the violence as arising from inter-communal conflict involving land, farming and herding interests (Reuters, 2023). The incident illustrates the complexity of conflict in a linguistically and ethnically diverse setting: language differences may affect communication and mediation, but they operate alongside land disputes, insecurity, political grievances and other structural factors.

b. 2024 Aba Killings in Abia State

On 30 May 2024, armed assailants attacked a military checkpoint at Obikabia Junction in Abia State, killing five soldiers and six civilians, according to the Nigerian military and contemporary reports (Reuters, 2024). The military attributed the attack to IPOB/ESN, although the wider context included separatist tensions and the commemoration of Biafran

Heroes Day. The incident is relevant to this study because it demonstrates how ethnic and regional identity can intersect with political conflict. It does not, however, establish a direct causal relationship between language difference and violence.

c. 2025 Edo State Killings

In March 2025, sixteen northern travellers, reported to include Hausa hunters, were killed by a mob in the Udune Efandion community of Uromi, Edo State, after being falsely accused of kidnapping. Contemporary reporting indicated that the victims' identity and the presence of hunting firearms contributed to the suspicion surrounding them (The Guardian Nigeria, 2025). The incident demonstrates the dangers of ethnic profiling, misinformation and mistrust. It also underscores the importance of accurate communication and reliable security procedures in preventing rumours or misidentification from escalating into violence.

Taken together, these cases demonstrate that Nigeria's violent conflicts are multidimensional. Language and ethnic identification can influence how groups perceive one another and how information is exchanged, but they should not be treated as sufficient explanations for complex conflicts. Effective conflict resolution therefore requires linguistic inclusion alongside political dialogue, security measures, equitable governance, access to justice, economic interventions and community-based peace-building.

Nigeria's national language policy

Nigeria's language policy has developed through successive education and language-policy instruments. The National Language Policy provides a framework for the regulation, use and development of Nigerian languages and recognises the importance of multilingualism (Federal Ministry of Education, 2022). The broader policy environment has historically maintained English as the principal official language while encouraging the use and learning of indigenous Nigerian languages in education and public life.

- a. English remains the principal language of official communication and is widely used in formal education, administration and the legal system.
- b. Indigenous languages are recognized as important resources for early education, cultural transmission and community participation.

- c. Policy instruments encourage the learning and development of Nigerian languages, including major languages such as Hausa, Igbo and Yoruba, alongside English.

However, several challenges have limited the effectiveness of language policy in practice:

- d. English continues to enjoy considerable prestige because of its association with education, employment, administration and social mobility.
- e. Many parents therefore prefer English-medium education from an early stage, sometimes at the expense of sustained development of indigenous languages.
- f. Sociopolitical and economic factors can contribute to the marginalization of indigenous languages (Adegbija, 2004).
- g. Although multilingualism is recognized in policy, implementation is constrained by shortages of instructional materials, teacher preparation and institutional support (Bangbose, 1991).
- h. Regional differences in language use also make it difficult to select one indigenous language for universal adoption. Any national-language policy must therefore balance national communication needs with linguistic diversity, equality and the protection of minority languages (Oyetade, 2003; Igboanusi, 2008).

The Role of a National Language in Conflict Resolution

In a multilingual society such as Nigeria, a common language can support peace-building by facilitating communication across linguistic boundaries. However, the effectiveness of a national language depends on whether citizens perceive it as inclusive, accessible and politically legitimate. A national language should therefore complement, rather than replace, indigenous languages and local communication practices.

a. Mutual understanding

A shared language can facilitate communication across ethnic and linguistic groups. In conflict-prone settings, improved mutual intelligibility may reduce opportunities for misunderstanding during negotiations, mediation and public communication. English already performs an important lingua-franca function in Nigeria, while Nigerian Pidgin and major indigenous languages also facilitate communication in particular regions and social domains.

b. National identity and unit

A shared language can contribute to collective identity by providing a platform for interaction that transcends immediate ethnic affiliations. Nevertheless, a national identity cannot be created by language alone. It must be supported by equitable institutions, political inclusion and respect for cultural and linguistic diversity.

c. Trust and social cohesion

Language can contribute to interpersonal and intergroup trust when participants can communicate clearly and feel that their identities are respected. Government proceedings, peace talks and community outreach are more likely to be inclusive when information is provided in languages that the relevant populations understand. A shared lingua franca can therefore improve accessibility while local languages remain important for culturally specific communication.

In conclusion a shared national language, when carefully integrated into policy and practice, can be a strategic resource for communication, national cohesion and conflict resolution. Its contribution is strongest when it facilitates dialogue without displacing indigenous languages or creating new perceptions of ethnic dominance. The Nigerian case therefore points towards an inclusive multilingual strategy rather than a simple replacement of English or the imposition of one indigenous language. The broader African experience further shows that language policy is closely connected with governance and national identity (Mazrui & Mazrui, 1998).

Potential for a Lingua Franca: Nigerian Pidgin or Hausa

There is a case for strengthening a widely used lingua franca as a bridge among Nigeria's diverse linguistic communities. A lingua franca can facilitate communication among people who do not share the same mother tongue and can be particularly useful in peace negotiations, civic education, media communication and grassroots dialogue. Two possibilities considered in this paper are Nigerian Pidgin and Hausa. The choice, however, must be based on broad consultation, linguistic inclusivity and political legitimacy rather than on the numerical strength of a single language community.

Facilitating Cross-Cultural Communication

A lingua franca can provide a practical platform for communication between groups that do not share the same mother tongue. Hausa functions extensively as a regional lingua franca in northern Nigeria and parts of the Middle Belt, while Nigerian Pidgin is widely used in many southern urban centers and is increasingly understood beyond its traditional areas of use. Either could therefore contribute to wider communication, although their distribution, social meanings and levels of acceptance differ.

Promoting Inclusivity and Participation

Political Sensitivity in the Choice of a National Language

The proposal to promote Hausa as a national language requires particular caution. Although Hausa is one of Nigeria's most widely used languages and an important lingua franca in northern Nigeria, selecting it as the sole national language could be perceived by speakers of other languages as privileging one ethnic or regional group. This concern is especially important in a country where language is closely connected to identity and political representation. Nigerian Pidgin may appear less directly tied to one major ethnolinguistic group, but it also has regional differences and varying levels of institutional development. The more sustainable policy option may therefore be to strengthen a shared lingua franca while maintaining English for selected official functions and protecting the status and development of indigenous and minority languages. Any decision to designate a national language should follow broad stakeholder consultation, including minority-language communities.

Using a widely understood lingua franca in peace-building can help more citizens understand their rights and roles in dispute resolution, participate in reconciliation processes and engage with public forums and media discussions. This is particularly important in grassroots peace-building, where communication in English alone may exclude citizens with limited proficiency in the official language.

Media Sector as a Platform for National Language Promotion

- a. Accessible Messaging: When conflict-related news, civic education and peace-building programmes are broadcast in a widely understood language, they can reach a

- broader audience. This may improve access to information and reduce the communication gaps through which misinformation can spread.
- b. Neutral Communication:** Media use of a broadly shared language can reduce linguistic barriers and make public information more accessible. Nevertheless, neutrality depends on the language's social and political acceptability; no language should be assumed to be politically neutral simply because it is widely spoken.
 - c. Cultural Integration:** Media can use shared languages to showcase cultural expressions, music, drama and public-interest programmes, and thereby creating opportunities for interaction across communities. Nigerian radio and television already make extensive use of English, Nigerian Pidgin and major Nigerian languages.

Education as a Vehicle for Language and Peace

The education system is instrumental in developing shared communicative resources and equipping future generations with the linguistic competence needed for interaction across ethnic and regional boundaries.

- a. Curriculum Design:** The strategic teaching of a widely shared Nigerian language, alongside English and learners' indigenous languages, can give students opportunities to communicate across linguistic boundaries.
- b. Civic and Peace Education:** Teaching peace-building, tolerance and conflict resolution through languages that learners understand can improve comprehension and participation.
- c. Interethnic Understanding:** Schools provide opportunities for learners from different linguistic backgrounds to interact. A shared language can support these interactions while the continued teaching of indigenous languages protects cultural and linguistic diversity.
- d. Policy Implication:** Language-in-education policy can contribute to national integration when it combines a shared communicative medium with equitable recognition of Nigeria's linguistic diversity (Bamgbose, 1991).

4.4 Synergy between Media and Education

When media campaigns and educational content reinforce one another by consistently using and valuing widely understood Nigerian languages, they can create a shared public

space for dialogue, normalise multilingual communication in formal and informal settings, and improve citizens' access to institutions and peace-building information.

Conclusion

The study recommends an inclusive multilingual approach. Nigerian Pidgin and Hausa may both serve important lingua-franca functions in different parts of the country, but the designation of Hausa specifically as the national language could generate political and identity-based resistance. Policy makers should therefore prioritize broad consultation, equitable representation and functional multilingualism. Education and the media should be used to expand citizens' access to widely understood languages while simultaneously supporting indigenous and minority languages. Such a balanced approach can strengthen mutual understanding without turning language policy itself into another source of exclusion. Language policy is also closely connected with national identity and governance in multilingual African states (Fishman, 1977; Blommaert, 2006).

In conclusion, this study argues that language can contribute to national cohesion and conflict resolution by facilitating communication, supporting intergroup understanding and widening participation in peace-building processes. However, the evidence reviewed does not justify treating language as a single or sufficient cause of Nigeria's conflicts. The selected cases show that violence is shaped by interacting political, economic, security, territorial, ethnic and informational factors. A national language or widely shared lingua franca can therefore function as one component of a broader peace-building strategy rather than as a stand-alone solution.

References

- Adegbija, E. (2004). Language attitudes in West Africa. International Association for World Englishes.
- Akinjogbin, I. A. (2002). The evolution of Yoruba nation. Longman Nigeria.
- Amnesty International. (2023, June 14). Nigeria: More than 120 people killed since President Tinubu's inauguration. <https://www.amnesty.org/en/latest/news/2023/06/nigeria-more-than-120-people-killed-since-president-tinubus-inauguration/>
- Ayoade, J. A. A. (1994). The role of language in the process of national integration. In A. A. Agbaje et al. (Eds.), *Nigeria: Language, culture and society* (pp. 72–87). Obafemi Awolowo University Press.

- Bamgbose, A. (1991). *Language and the nation: The language question in sub-Saharan Africa*. Edinburgh University Press.
- Blommaert, J. (2006). Language policy and national identity. In T. Ricento (Ed.), *An introduction to language policy: Theory and method* (pp. 238–254). Blackwell.
- Egbokhare, F. (2003). The language question and national development in Nigeria. In B. Igboanusi (Ed.), *Language and politics in Nigeria* (pp. 47–67). Enicrownfit Publishers.
- Federal Ministry of Education. (2022). *National language policy*. Federal Republic of Nigeria.
- Fishman, J. A. (1977). Language and nationalism. In H. Giles (Ed.), *Language, ethnicity and intergroup relations* (pp. 15–41). Academic Press.
- Igboanusi, H. (2008). *Language attitudes and language conflict in West Africa*. Enicrownfit Publishers.
- Mazrui, A. M., & Mazrui, A. A. (1998). *The power of Babel: Language and governance in the African experience*. James Currey.
- Oyetade, S. O. (2003). Language planning in a multilingual state: The case of Nigeria. In B. Igboanusi (Ed.), *Language attitudes and language conflict in West Africa* (pp. 19–31). Enicrownfit Publishers.
- Osaghae, E. E. (1994). *Ethnicity and its management in Africa: The democratization link*. CASS.
- Reuters. (2023, May 19). Deaths from latest Nigerian inter-communal clashes pass 100. *ThePrint*.
- Reuters. (2024, May 31). Separatist gunmen kill 11 in Nigeria's southeast, army says. *Reuters*.
- Suberu, R. T. (2001). *Federalism and ethnic conflict in Nigeria*. United States Institute of Peace Press.
- Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1979). An integrative theory of intergroup conflict. In W. G. Austin & S. Worchel (Eds.), *The social psychology of intergroup relations* (pp. 33–47). Brooks/Cole.
- The Guardian Nigeria. (2025, March 28). Mob kills 16 northern travellers in Edo. *The Guardian Nigeria*.
- Whorf, B. L. (1956). *Language, thought, and reality: Selected writings of Benjamin Lee Whorf* (J. B. Carroll, Ed.). MIT Press.